

LOWER SALFORD TOWNSHIP
TRAIL PLANNING COMMITTEE
AGENDA

Monday, January 27, 2024

7:00 p.m.

Zoom

<https://bowman.zoom.us/j/96310050180?pwd=jUK2JITimdqweY5lKeuMn8MTAI0U4f.1>

Meeting ID: 963 1005 0180

Password: 739906

1. Lower Salford Trail and Sidewalk Mapping Updates
 - a. [Lower Salford Sidewalk/Trail Analysis \(arcgis.com\)](#)
 - b. Check for link on new website

2. Kulp Road Sidewalk Preliminary Layout
 - a. Two scenarios to minimize impact on property frontages (Options A and B)
 - b. Coordination with Franconia Twp/Project limits – Jon Hammer indicated willingness to collaborate with LST.
 - c. Presented first two sidewalk layouts and costs to BOS. They requested additional alternatives and to take them back to the TSC for discussion. *New AASHTO guidance issued for pedestrian, bicycle lanes, and shared-use paths.*
 - i. No Buffer Sidewalk
 1. Pros: Backside of existing curb, maximizes distance from ex. Trees, meets objective of connecting for pedestrian use
 2. Cons: No grass buffer
 3. Similar cost to Option A
 - ii. On Road Bike Lane
 1. Pros: No widening, No Impact to trees
 2. Cons: Short segment for bikes only, not for pedestrian connection, Does not connect to Franconia sidewalk
 3. Reduced cost (\$15-20k) for pavement markings and signing only (excludes ADA accommodations, ramps, etc.)
 - iii. On Road Sidepath – Requires 8’ min** for bikes, peds, etc. (and this is for specific situations only, 10’ is generally required)
 1. Pros: No widening on southern side of Kulp Rd. or impact on trees
 2. Cons: Does not meet AASHTO Buffer of 6’ OR widening on other side of road is required, shifts roadway crown, requires markings or other treatment/physical separator in buffer area, **not ADA compliant** due to longitudinal and cross slope, mixes peds and bikes in both directions. General safety concerns with pedestrians in the roadway at this intersection and on a side with right turn movements that could easily interfere.

3. Review updated Project Prioritization List and scoring with all Lederach projects added.
 - a. Review Committee’s Top 5 project ranking (compile scoring).
 - i. Give points 5 to 1 with 5 being highest (total highest score is highest priority project)
 - b. Identify program funding for highly ranked projects.

4. General Grant Project Status, Applications
 - a. FY 2025 Appropriations (Sens Casey, Fetterman, Rep. Dean).
 - b. 2024 DCED GTRP – Submitted May 31, 2024 – SR 0113 Shared Use Path Phase 2
 - i. Awaiting Award announcements
 - c. 2024 MontCo2040 – Yoder Rd
 - i. Completing final design
 - ii. DPW to remove trees per property owner coordination.
 - d. 2024 DCED MTF – Submitted July 2024- Oak Drive RTL and Sidewalk MTF application for Construction
 - i. R/W coordination for Fonseca remains open/unresolved
 - e. ARLE 2025 – TBD.
 - f. ARLE 2023-On Road Bike Lanes
 - i. Awarded for Spring implementation/construction.
 - g. TASA - SR 63/Ruth Rd
 - i. PSE and upcoming advertisement, construction in 2025
 - h. TASA 2025 – *Plan for Application***
 - i. Consider SR 0113 Alternate Route Shared Use Path Phase 1 Construction
 - ii. DVRPC meeting follow-up for TASA application
 - i. 2025 MontCo2040 – Twp Staff Considering Projects**
 - i. Inclusive Playground in Alderfer Park
5. Resident and Twp Staff Requests
 - a. Mr. Wayne Leo regarding Kulp Rd Sidewalk
6. Future Meeting Schedule
 - a. Next Meeting: _____ at 7 pm
7. Action Items